UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/524,955	02/03/2006	02/03/2006 Bruce Towe		1066	
	7590 06/05/200 BOEHNEN HULBER	EXAMINER			
300 S. WACKER DRIVE			MANUEL, GEORGE C		
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3762		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/05/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Арі	olication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/	524,955	TOWE ET AL.	TOWE ET AL.			
		Exa	ıminer	Art Unit				
		Ged	orge Manuel	3762				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears	on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANAISIONS OF time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply epply received by the Office later than three months after a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE ( of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. tutory period will appl will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNI In no event, however, may a y and will expire SIX (6) MOI the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 13 March	2009					
•		b)⊠ This actio						
3)		<i>/</i> —		ters incosecution as to th	ne merits is			
٥/ك	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
<b>D.</b> 10		o arraor Expan	to quayro, 1000 0.1	5. 11, 100 G.G. 210.				
· · ·	on of Claims							
•	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,4-8,19-21 and 23-38</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-8,19,21 and 23-38</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or elec	ction requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted	l or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawi	ng(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is	required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 (	CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P <sup>-</sup> nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	ГО-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shah et al (US 6,562,033).

Shah et al disclose an intradiscal lesioning device for percutaneous treatment of a patient's intervertebral disc that has a pathological condition that causes discogenic pain.

An elongate introducer having a longitudinal hollow bore is surgically inserted from the patient's skin to extend through the annulus fibrosus thereby providing external surgical access to the nucleus pulposus through the introducer bore. An elongate probe slides through and flexibly conforms to the bore when longitudinally inserted through the bore of the introducer. The distal portion of the probe is capable of conforming to the bore in a longitudinally slidably confined configuration and being deployed into a

Application/Control Number: 10/524,955 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

predetermined configuration when released within the nucleus pulposus in which the

distal portion forms at least one loop of a dimension able to remain within the nucleus

pulposus without contacting the inner wall. The distal portion houses lesioning devices

for emitting energy in the disc when the distal portion is in the deployed configuration.

Shah et al disclose suitable energy sources include a radio frequency electric current

and an ultrasound emission.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to stimulate neurons

with the device to prevent the transmission of pain signals because Shah et al suggest

a direct interruption of the nerves by formation of a lesion blocks the transmissions of

pain signals.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 4-8, 19, 21 and 23-38 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4952.

/George Manuel/ George Manuel Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 3762